Evaluation of Neopterin as a Neuroinflammatory Marker for Peripheral Neuropathy in Type 2 Diabetic Patients
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Abstract

Background: Elevation of the marker neopterin is associated with the progression and consequences of diabetes. Although the clinical relationship between the high levels and peripheral neuropathies other than diabetic peripheral neuropathy is unknown, it has been linked to them. Objective: To evaluate the difference in serum neopterin levels between diabetic individuals who have peripheral neuropathy and those who do not. Methods: This seven-month cross-sectional study at the Diabetic Center began in December 2022 and included 126 patients with the second type of diabetes mellitus. Following verbal consent, the included patients were subjected to neurological and physical evaluations. Along with the blood pressure, the weight and height were noted. Glucose, TG, CH, HDL-c, fasting insulin, and neopterin were all analyzed using serum. Formulas were used to compute BMI, LDL-c, and VLDC. Results: When diabetic individuals with peripheral neuropathy were compared to those without, the serum levels of neopterin in the former group revealed a marginally higher level than in the latter, but this difference was not statistically significant. Conclusion: Neopterin levels were not altered in diabetic individuals with peripheral neuropathy, indicating that it is not a reliable indicator of the condition.
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INTRODUCTION

Neuropathy is present in half of the individuals with long-duration diabetes mellitus and affects roughly about 10% of diabetic patients with type 2 in the early course of their diagnosis [1]. The elevated blood glucose level seen in diabetic patients disrupts the capacity of the neurons to connect, in which case the blood capillary that supplies the neuron with oxygen and nutrients will become weak in addition to insulin resistance and dyslipidemia, causing the loss of axonal vitality along with nerve dysfunction and finally cell death [2]. This in turn indicates the association between the inflammatory process and the development of DPN [3]. Additionally, it has been found that many inflammatory changes are associated with the development of diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN) [3]. Neopterin (NP) is an immune system activation biomarker whose concentration is elevated in many inflammatory states due to its production by activated macrophages and monocytes [4,5]. It is created from guanosine triphosphate (GTP) when interferon-gamma (INF-γ) is secreted from T lymphocytes upon identification of the pathogen and injured tissue, which in turn activates GTP cyclohydrolase I (GTPCH I), producing 7,8-dihydroneopterin that, under oxidative stress, yields neopterin, a small molecule also known as the “pteridine molecule” [6-8]. NP is a product of the oxidation of 7,8-dihydroneopterin, and its level reflects the level of oxidative stress during inflammation. It acts as a mediator and modulator of inflammation by inducing antioxidant and anti-inflammatory conditions in addition to the extracellular marker of activated immune cells [9,10]. It provides a potential tool for evaluating the development of different inflammatory disorders [11]. It has been found that the serum concentration of NP is strongly associated with the parameters of glucose metabolism and is closely related to glucose intolerance [12]. Despite the description of NP as a marker of diabetic progression and its complications, along with the marked elevation of their level in peripheral neuropathies other than diabetic peripheral neuropathy (DPN), little is known about its clinical association with DPN [13]. Neural damages that arise due to DM result in the overproduction of several pro-inflammatory molecules by activating local immune cells, altering capillary permeability, and infiltrating peripheral leukocytes [14,15]. Neopterin can be employed as a biomarker for the detection and monitoring of neuroinflammatory disorders [16]. It has been found that elevated NP levels are correlated to the pain that accompanies diabetic neuropathy, attributed to sensory neuron damage and the inflammatory process [17]. This study aimed to find out if the level of NP is affected in patients with T2DM when they get DPN by comparing NP levels among patients using different methods for recognizing DPN presence.

METHODS

Study design

This study was intended to be cross-sectional. The studied patients were chosen at random from those who visited the diabetic institute between December 2022 and June 2023. The 126 diabetic patients with type 2 who completed the informed permission first underwent an initial examination in order to investigate whether the diabetic patients had peripheral neuropathy through the use of the Michigan Inspection Instrument for Neuropathy (MNSI) to identify which patients could possibly have DPN. After that, the whole group of patients also underwent an evaluation of their nerve conductivity to verify the presence of DPN at Al-Yarmouk Teaching Hospital in Baghdad, as shown in Figure 1. Patients were comparable in age, BMI, and disease duration.

Sample collection

A gel separator tube was used for blood collection of about 2 ml; serum was obtained after allowing the blood to coagulate and pass through a centrifuge. Then serum was used for the analysis of sugar in fasting, TG, CH, HDL, and insulin on the same day as blood was drawn. Neopterin was assessed by throwing a frozen serum that was kept for a few months at -20 °C using the ELISA method according to the instructions of the kit-produced company. VLDL-c, LDL-c, and BMI were calculated mathematically. were classified into main groups and subgroups based on the MNSI outcomes beside the nerve investigation results, as shown in Figure 1.

Exclusion criteria

Type 2 diabetic patients with complications other than peripheral neuropathy were excluded in addition to the other
possible causes of peripheral neuropathy due to other causes other than DM, such as tumors, autoimmune diseases, patients taking neurotoxic medication, smokers and any thyroid gland abnormality. Additionally, patients with other types of diabetes mellitus were excluded.

**Ethical consideration**

According to the document with the number 432 dated December 21, 2022, the local scientific committee at Al-Karkh Directorate of Health in Baghdad province authorized the study protocol. The goals of the research were conveyed to each patient in order to acquire their consent to participate in it. They were made aware of their right to leave the study at any time.

**Statistical Analysis**

For the statistical analysis of this study, Graph Pad Prism (version 9) was used for data analysis and visualization of the data using student’s t-test and ANOVA methods for data analysis (comparing between two groups and more than two groups, respectively), in addition to the use of Pearson’s correlation coefficient to show the associations between the studied parameters [18].

**RESULTS**

As shown in Table 1, no significant statistical changes were noticed between the two groups regarding patients’ clinical features (age, the index of body mass (BMI), and disease duration in addition to the means of serum insulin and lipid profile. However, there was a statistical difference between the two groups regarding fasting blood glucose (FBG) levels.

Table 1: The comparison of the clinical features, glycemic and lipid profiles among Type 2 diabetic patients with and without peripheral neuropathy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>non-DPN (n=63)</th>
<th>DPN (n=63)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (Year)</td>
<td>56.3±1.38</td>
<td>57.9±1.26</td>
<td>0.383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>30.6±0.55</td>
<td>31.4±0.77</td>
<td>0.414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration (Year)</td>
<td>9.10±0.77</td>
<td>10.78±0.90</td>
<td>0.160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBG (mg/dL)</td>
<td>165.16±7.68</td>
<td>197.34±9.98</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin (mIU/mL)</td>
<td>9.82±0.91</td>
<td>11.48±1.31</td>
<td>0.302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>4.13±0.50</td>
<td>5.70±0.75</td>
<td>0.085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mg/dL)</td>
<td>153.02±9.10</td>
<td>163.86±11.20</td>
<td>0.454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cholesterol (mg/dL)</td>
<td>177.04±4.68</td>
<td>175.23±6.15</td>
<td>0.815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-c (mg/dL)</td>
<td>40.75±1.17</td>
<td>41.15±1.23</td>
<td>0.816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-c (mg/dL)</td>
<td>103.85±6.13</td>
<td>99.46±5.24</td>
<td>0.512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLDL-c (mg/dL)</td>
<td>31.56±1.96</td>
<td>33.12±2.33</td>
<td>0.614</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as mean±SE. non-DPN: diabetes only; DPN: diabetic peripheral neuropathy.

Regarding the comparison of serum neopterin among patients based on the results of the nerve study and scoring system of MNSI, this study showed no statistically significant differences between the four groups (p=0.534), however, in the groups of patients with high scores (had or did not have DPN), the mean was slightly raised, as shown in Table 2.

Table 2: The comparison of NP means between different studied subgroups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>DPN (n=25)</th>
<th>non-DPN (n=38)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st subgroup</td>
<td>2.74±0.22</td>
<td>2.92±0.16</td>
<td>0.590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd subgroup</td>
<td>2.83±0.31</td>
<td>2.67±0.10</td>
<td>0.534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd subgroup</td>
<td>2.94±0.23</td>
<td>2.69±0.22</td>
<td>0.534</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as mean±SE.

Regarding neopterin associations, this study exhibited a very weak positive association with the physical examination of MNSI in overall diabetic patients, with no associations with the other studied parameters, as shown in Figure 4.

Figure 2: Comparison of the means of serum neopterin levels between patients based on nerve conductivity study.

Additionally, the mean of neopterin in diabetic patients with high marks of MNSI showed a slight elevation (2.93±0.13) compared to those with low marks (2.68±0.10), but it was not statistically notable (p=0.137) as shown in Figure 3.

Figure 3: Comparison of the means of serum neopterin levels among diabetic patients based on the marks of MNSI.

In this study, no notable changes in the mean of neopterin were noted after comparing diabetic patients who did not have DPN (2.74±0.10) and those who did have DPN (2.83±0.31) (p=0.590) as shown in Figure 2.
**DISCUSSION**

Damage to the peripheral nervous system's structure has been linked to DPN. This includes microangiopathy of the endoneurium, axonal damage, demyelination, and Schwann cells that don't work right [19]. In DPN, neuroinflammation results from neural damage caused by hyperglycemia and a decrease in oxygen supply that leads to oxidative stress and the production of reactive oxygen species [20]. Normally, immune cells have the ability to produce neurotropic factors that contribute to nerve recovery and remyelination; however, if the cause of neuroinflammation persists, this could result in further damage [21]. Neopterin serum level increased nonspecifically in inflammatory conditions involving the activation of macrophages and monocytes, such as T2DM; additionally, it can predict the level of oxidative stress [22]. In this study, serum fasting blood glucose levels were statistically elevated in those with DPN, which implicated the effect of hyperglycemia on DPN occurrence [23]. Additionally, this study showed that the prevalence of DPN is more common in male patients, and this finding agreed with the findings of a previous study by Dhillon et al. (2023) [24]. A previous study done in southern Iraq enrolled 4926 type 2 diabetic patients by Mansour et al. (2009) [25], who found that DPN was seen in 13.8% of type 2 diabetic patients, with female patients more likely than male patients. It’s noteworthy that no previous study was made on estimating serum neopterin in type 2 diabetic patients with peripheral neuropathy; thus, one of the findings of this study was that it showed no significant statistical changes in the mean of serum NP between the diabetic patients with and without DPN (p=0.590) as shown in Figure 2. However, a previous study that measured urinary neopterin concentration found no significant change between diabetic patients with and without DNP [26]. The only previous study involving the assessment of serum NP in DPN involving type 1 diabetic patients (who were between six and seventeen years old with less than five years of disease duration) showed serum neopterin levels were significantly elevated in type 1 diabetic patients with DPN [13]. As it is known, DPN is one of the problems that influence diabetic patients with type 1 and type 2, but in a different way due to the differences in the causal mechanisms of DM, in which type 1 results from immune dysfunction while IR and relative insulin deficiency are responsible for the occurrence of T2DM [27]. This leads to differences in the type of nerve damage and probably the underlying inflammatory process [27,28]. This could explain the differences in the findings of the current study and the previous study with T1DM. Another finding of this study (since no previous studies to our knowledge compared serum NP based on the Michigan method for scoring alone and with nerve conductivity) was that when the Michigan scoring method was used alone to classify patients, no substantial changes were found in the mean serum NP level (p=0.137) when comparing the patients according to their scores. Additionally, by comparing the mean serum NP levels between the participants' subgroups, there were no significant differences (p=0.534) between the studied subgroups; however, it was slightly elevated in those subgroups with scores (≥9.5) whether they had or did not have DPN, as shown in Table 1. It is worthy to mention that no previous study compared serum neopterin based on the Michigan scoring method with nerve conductivity. This study also showed that among all participants, NP exhibited a substantial but very weak positive association with Michigan physical examination (r=0.185, p=0.038). This could probably indicate that the NP could be related to those signs of DPN in diabetic patients since the physical
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Study limitations

Small number of patients involved in this study leads to small numbers of patients in the subgroups; thus, the finding of this study cannot be generalized to a larger population.

Conclusion

This study found that serum neopterin did not predict the onset and progression of diabetic peripheral neuropathy. More research (ideally on the genetic level) involving more newly diagnosed DM patients with more biomarkers, as well as the use of study designs other than cross-sectional type, are required.


