The Effectiveness of Autogenous Dentin Graft as a Biomaterial in Optimizing the Esthetic Outcomes of Immediate Dental Implants: A Prospective Clinical Study
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Abstract

Background: Immediate implant placement in the maxillary anterior region was challenging, especially with the jumping gap and limited primary implant stability. Objective: To assess the autogenous dentin graft biomaterial's ability to improve the esthetic outcomes of an immediately inserted implant. Methods: Twenty patients with non-restorable retained roots in the maxillary non-molar region surrounded by natural sound teeth were included in this study after a complete clinical and radiological examination, including patient health and clinical fitness for the immediate dental implant procedure. A single dental implant was inserted for each patient, and the resulting jump distance was filled with the mineralized dentin graft and covered by gel foam with the figure of eight suturing. The Pink Esthetic Score index of the implant-supported prostheses was evaluated six months after the initial surgery. Results: Twenty eligible patients were included in this study. The assessment of the clinical measurements of the alveolar ridge width and gingival biotype before surgical intervention and after 6 months showed no significant differences between them. The mean Pink Esthetic Score (PES) was 7.75. Only two patients achieved a total esthetic score of 10; another three patients had a score of 8, four with a score of 7, and the rest with a score of 6. Conclusion: An autogenous dentine graft effectively achieves acceptable esthetic results with an isolated immediate dental implant inserted in the anterior maxillary area.
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INTRODUCTION

Dental implants are the most acceptable contemporary treatment for replacing missing teeth. In the past, implants were typically placed after a few weeks or months following tooth extraction to allow for socket healing. However, immediate implant placement has gained popularity recently due to its many benefits. Immediate placement offers advantages such as preserving alveolar bone, achieving better implant alignment, improving aesthetics, and providing psychological benefits to patients [1,2]. Non-traumatic extraction with immediate implantation and grafting is to prevent alveolar resorption by preserving the cortical plates and maintaining the dimensions of the extraction socket. These techniques aim to avoid cortical plate collapse and preserve the alveolar ridge’s structure and volume [3]. The primary goal of the immediate implantation in the aesthetic zone is to achieve patient satisfaction with the aesthetic outcome and preserve the bone in the extraction area. Successfully managing these challenges makes it possible to maintain both functional and aesthetic aspects of the affected area [4]. Most immediately inserted dental implants in the esthetic zone require bone grafting into the jumping space to avoid severe resorption of the thin buccal bone plate [5]. Bone augmentation can be achieved with different graft materials, and autogenous bone grafts are their golden standard. However, it may be associated with a second surgical site, causing more trauma and pain for the patient [6]. On the other hand, other bone graft materials like allograft and xenograft may be associated with low osteogenic potential and may trigger an immune response that may complicate the procedure, besides the high cost of these materials [7]. The need for a graft having the advantages of autogenous grafts without requiring second-site surgery can be accomplished with an autogenous-derived dentin graft, especially in extracting non-restorable teeth with immediate dental implant insertion [8]. The close similarity between the alveolar bone and natural tooth organic and non-organic components, together with the histological similarity between them, makes it a perfect bone graft material for its osteo-conduction property [9]. The Pink Esthetic Score is an index used to evaluate the esthetic outcomes in dental implant surgery, which primarily focus on the evaluation of soft tissue characteristics in the context of anterior implant restorations in five variables, including mesial papilla, distal papilla, zenith line, convexity of labial mucosa, tissue color, and texture. A score of 0 is the lowest, and 2 is the highest value [10]. This study aims to assess the autogenous dentin graft biomaterial’s ability to improve the esthetic outcomes of an immediately inserted implant in the maxillary esthetic region.

METHODS

Study design and setting

This study was a prospective single-arm observational clinical trial conducted at the Baghdad Dental Teaching Hospital, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, from March 2022 to April 2023, following ethical principles and in compliance with the Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments.

Ethical considerations

This study has been ethically approved by the University of Baghdad Institutional Research Ethics Committee (protocol number 388121). Furthermore, the trial had been registered in the Thai clinical trial registry with registration number TCTR 20220908001.

Patient selection criteria

The study’s eligibility criteria included adult patients between the ages of 18 and 60 who had natural, sound teeth surrounding non-restorable retained roots in the maxillary non-molar region. Screening consisted of a complete clinical and radiological examination, including patient health and clinical fitness for the dental implant procedure, gingival biotype, ridge width of at least 6 mm, the availability of at least 3mm of sound subapical bone, the presence of an intact labial bone plate, and the absence of acute periapical infection on cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) and clinically.

Outcome measurements

Alveolar bone width in CBCT before the surgical intervention and 6 months later clinically before the surgical intervention and 6 months later. Successful osseointegration and pink esthetic soft tissue score.

Surgical procedure

Each patient received a single dental implant; the surgical procedure started with the infiltration of a local anesthetic agent of lidocaine hydrochloride 2% and epinephrine (1:80,000). Atraumatic tooth extraction was achieved using a periosteum and retained root extraction forceps, followed by direct measurement of the ridge width with a digital vernier. Caries, debris, remnants of soft tissues, and fillings were removed from the extracted root. Komitabio develops a smart dentin grinder. The “SmartSmartin Grinder” TM device was utilized to grind and sort the extracted tooth particles of 300–1200 microns. After grinding, the resulting particles were treated with a cleanser solution (sodium hydroxide and 20% alcohol) for 5 minutes in order to remove all pathogens and their toxins and to dissolve organic debris from these particles, followed by application of the neutralizer solution (phosphate buffered saline) with a pH of 5-7 for 1 minute, then the
particles were dried and ready for use. At the same time, the extraction site was cleaned by curettage and copious irrigation of normal saline; then, dental implant site preparation started with the pilot drill inserted on the mid-height of the palatal wall of the socket, followed by the next drills according to the manufacturer's instructions. A single dental implant from Binnovation, Brazil, of diameters (3.5 and 4.0 mm) and lengths (8.5–13 mm) was inserted for each patient, and the resulting jump distance was filled with the mineralized dentin graft and covered by gel foam with the figure of eight suturing. 6 months after the initial surgery, the 2nd stage of surgery was accomplished by placing the gingival former, utilizing a crestal incision, and measuring the alveolar ridge width with the digital vernier. After impression, the final prosthetic supragingival part was inserted, and the esthetic outcomes were evaluated with the Pink Esthetic Score index [10] after two weeks by an independent oral and maxillofacial surgeon. The full, detailed steps of the procedure are shown in Figure 1.

**Figure 1**: A detailed case of immediate dental implant insertion with an autogenous dentin graft and subsequent prosthetic part loading and evaluation of the Pink Esthetic Score (A) a preoperative view of the non-restorable maxillary left lateral incisor; (B) an axial view of CBCT showing the accused retained root and the surrounding bone; (C) preparation of the extracted retained root before grinding; (D) treating the resulting autogenous dentin particles with cleanser and neutralizer solutions; (E) dental implant placed in the palatal position with a labial jump distance to be filled with the graft; (F) placement of the gel foam plug over the implant and the graft; (G) impression post placed in the second stage of treatment; (H) periapical x-ray showed osseointegration around the dental implant after six months from the initial operation; (I) final clinical view of the implant-supported crown at the time of insertion.

**Statistical analysis**

The data was analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) software, version 25. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to assess the normality of the data distribution. In the statistical analyses, the implants are considered statistically independent. The PES index and Pearson correlation coefficient test were used to assess the correlations between gingival biotypes before and after implantation. At the same time, the study's sample size was calculated using G-Power 3.1 software.

**RESULTS**

Thirty-three patients volunteered to participate in this study; 13 were excluded because they did not fit the inclusion criteria. Only twenty eligible patients (13 females and 7 males) were enrolled in this study, as illustrated in Figure 2.

**Table 1**: Comparison between clinical and radiological measurements of the alveolar ridge width

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method of measurement</th>
<th>Alveolar ridge width</th>
<th>p- Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical</td>
<td>8.12±1.97</td>
<td>0.75118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiological</td>
<td>8.06±1.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values were expressed as mean±SD.

The assessment of the clinical measurements of the alveolar ridge width and gingival biotype before surgical intervention and six months later showed no significant differences (p>0.05) between preoperative and second-stage values, as detailed in Table 2.
Table 2: Comparison of gingival biotypes and alveolar ridge width changes before and after surgical intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>p-value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preoperatively</td>
<td>Postoperatively</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alveolar ridge width</td>
<td>7.78 ± 2.24</td>
<td>7.52 ± 2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gingival biotype</td>
<td>1.40 ± 0.42</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values were expressed as mean±SD; * refer to t-test.

The Pink Esthetic Score (PES) mean was 7.75±1.45. Only two patients achieved the total esthetic score of 10, another three patients had a score of 8, four patients had a score of 7, and the rest had a score of 6 or less. The Pearson Correlation Coefficient Calculator was utilized to estimate the correlations between the pre- and postoperative gingival biotype and alveolar ridge width with the esthetic results, as shown in Table 3.

Table 3: the correlations between pink esthetic variables with the gingival biotype and alveolar ridge width in pre- and post-operative periods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlations</th>
<th>Pre-operative variables</th>
<th>Post-operative variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gingival biotype</td>
<td>Alveolar ridge width</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gingival biotype</td>
<td>Alveolar ridge width</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesial papilla score</td>
<td>0.123*</td>
<td>0.289*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.086*</td>
<td>0.161*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal papilla score</td>
<td>0.146*</td>
<td>0.220*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.263*</td>
<td>0.107*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft tissue contour score</td>
<td>0.089*</td>
<td>0.379*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.356*</td>
<td>0.053*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft tissue level score</td>
<td>0.462*</td>
<td>0.464*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.123*</td>
<td>0.200*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.086*</td>
<td>0.099*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alveolar process contour score</td>
<td>0.111*</td>
<td>0.464*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.200*</td>
<td>0.389*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.086*</td>
<td>0.033*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.633*</td>
<td>0.251*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.101*</td>
<td>0.159*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spearman’s Rho Correlation, § refer to r-value and * refer to p-value (2-tailed).

The results showed only a weak positive relationship between these variables and pink aesthetic score items. However, the alveolar ridge width in the postoperative period strongly correlated with the alveolar process contour item of the pink esthetic score. However, the mean value of the total pink esthetic score had strong positive correlations with the postoperative gingival biotype and alveolar ridge width, as shown in Table 4. Furthermore, no complications were reported during or after the surgical procedure. All the dental implants succeeded in osseointegration.

Table 4: Correlations between The Pink Esthetic Score results with the postoperative gingival biotype and ridge width

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlations</th>
<th>Alveolar ridge width</th>
<th>Gingival biotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pink Esthetic Score</td>
<td>0.62109§</td>
<td>0.8983§</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Spearman’s Rho correlation, § refer to r-value and * refer to p-value.

**DISCUSSION**

This study evaluates the esthetic outcome of 20 patients who underwent immediate dental implant placement using an autogenous dentin graft as a filling biomaterial for the jumping distance. The anterior maxilla is widely recognized as challenging due to its high esthetic expectations. The successful outcome of implant restorations in this area relies on the interplay between bone support and soft tissue dimensions, as these factors significantly contribute to the final esthetic result [11]. In order to achieve optimal esthetics and functional outcomes, careful consideration should be given to the positioning of dental implants in the anterior maxilla. The placement should take into account the natural contours of neighboring teeth and the ideal position of the alveolar ridge [12,13]. This approach helps to ensure proper integration of the implant with the surrounding bone over time, promoting long-term stability and esthetic harmony. Inadequate thickness of the buccal bone can result in a reduction in buccal bone height and the occurrence of soft tissue dehiscence and gingival recession. These conditions can have detrimental effects on both the biomechanical and esthetic outcomes of dental implant treatment [14]. Multiple methods were used to measure the alveolar socket dimension [15]; most researchers used direct clinical measurements at the time of surgery or radiological 3D measurements with CBCT [16]. To confirm the validity of these methods, this study compared these measurements, and the results showed statistically insignificant differences between them. This result encourages using the direct clinical measurement method to minimize the need to expose the patient to potentially harmful radiation from the CBCT. Different bone substitutes were used to fill the gap (jump distance) after tooth extraction and immediate dental implant insertion with variable efficiency [17,18]. Although it has been hypothesized that demineralization of the autogenous dentin graft may lead to optimization of the osteo-inductive power, the lack of a standardized protocol for demineralization plus the delay as the process may take more than two days limit its use in the immediate cases. Besides, it is mainly indicated when there is a bony wall defect in the socket [19]. In contrast, a mineralized autogenous dentin graft had better mechanical stability, leading to a solid site for implant placement [20]. Furthermore, all cases included in this study had intact bony walls, as checked radiologically in the CBCT preoperatively and clinically at the time of surgery after tooth extraction. Most maxillary anterior teeth have a thin labial bone plate that is liable for fast remodeling. Postoperative gingival biotype thickening will result from resorption of the underlying labial bone plate and excessive soft tissue ingrowth due to the high proliferative rate [21]. The role of the mineralized autogenous dentine graft in the immediate dental implant inserted in the maxillary esthetic zone was investigated for the first time in this clinical study. The results showed excellent outcomes regarding the dimensional stability of the extraction socket, with no statistically significant changes in the mean of the gingival biotype or socket width.
Furthermore, the flapless approach was used in this study, as the resorption of the labial bone plate was found to be more significant when using the flap approach compared to the flapless approach due to the disturbance caused by the periosteum during flap elevation. Such disturbance compromises the blood supply to the area and subsequently increases the activity of osteoclasts on the buccal bone surface, leading to more significant bone resorption [22, 23]. The gingival biotype and the thickness of the labial bone plate are important factors that can affect the level of marginal tissue (zenith line) and the presence of papilla when placing implants right away in jaws with a thin labial bone plate [24]. This may explain the strong positive correlation between the postoperative gingival biotype and the Pink Esthetic Score in this study.

However, a previous study comparing the gingival biotype thickness changes between immediate and delayed implants showed no statistically significant effect of the gingival biotype thickness on the esthetic outcomes [25]. This counteracting data can be explained by the limited number of implants in each group and the fact that the dental implant sites involved posterior areas of the jaws with a thicker buccal bone plate and gingival biotype. The good esthetic results in the current study resulted from accumulating all these previous factors, flapless surgery in sites with an intact labial bone plate, and using a mineralized autogenous dentin graft to fill the gap and support the thin labial bone plate. Moreover, this study showed a strong positive correlation between the postoperative gingival biotype and the Pink Esthetic Score.

Conclusion

Despite the limitations of this study (the limited number of participants due to strict selection criteria), utilizing only one augmentation material restricts the ability to compare its effectiveness with different materials. An autogenous dentine graft is effective in achieving aesthetic outcomes with a single dental implant placed in the anterior maxillary area.
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